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## Sex Venues

clubs

## cruising areas

MSM Population
sex venue - users
engage in risky sex


## Prevalence of Risky Practices

|  | Cruisers <br> $(\mathbf{n}=515)$ <br> $\%$ | Bathers <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 2 6})$ <br> $\%$ | Multi- <br> Venue <br> Users <br> $(\mathbf{n}=481)$ <br> $\%$ | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sexual Practices | 20.0 | 33.9 | 50.4 | $*$ |
| UAI with non-primary partner | 4.3 | 10.1 | 21.6 | $*$ |
| UAI in public setting | 25.3 | 51.6 | 69.9 | $*$ |
| Group Sex | 2.3 | 9.1 | 14.5 | $* *$ |
| $\quad$ UAI in group setting |  |  |  | $*$ |
| Number of Partners | 8.1 | 14.8 | 33.2 | $*$ |
| 26+ partners in last yeart | 5.7 | 12.3 | 30.7 | $*$ |
| $21+$ one-night stands in last yeart |  |  |  |  |

*Significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}<.0167$ ) between all groups
**Significant difference ( p <.0167) between all groups except bathers \& multi -venue users
Multi-venue users are the most risky

Urban Men's Health Study (Binson et al, AJPH, 2001, 91:1482-1486)

## But UMHS data can't tell us where risk happens, so...

## What goes on in a club?

## ... to find out

## Designed an EXIT survey

Used a probability sample design

## Probability Sample Design

## Primary goal is <br> REPRESENTATIVENESS

-Advantage - completely takes any choice out of the researcher's hand.

- Confident can generalize findings to the population of interest.


## Major Principle of Probability Sampling

- Every member of population of interest must have known and nonzero probability of being selected.
- Key word is "known."



# Probability sampling in a bathhouse (LMOE I \& II) 

- 2-stage time design

Randomly select time shifts
Randomly select patrons within shifts

- Bathhouse open - 24 /7

Constructed 3-hour shifts
Yields 8 shifts/day \& 56 shifts/week

## Probability sampling in a bathhouse

- Shifts randomly selected
-based on estimates of flow of patrons exiting each shift
- Flow of patrons - exit counts
-start with relatively inexact counts
-adjust with actual on-going exit counts


## Probability sampling in a bathhouse

Number of shifts/week

- \# of interviews want each week
- \# of staff can manage

Patrons selected randomly in each shift
Each shift assigned unique

- random start,
- sampling interval


## Practice




## Challenge \#2: <br> A constant flow of data between the sampling statistician and counter

Sampling statistician provides weekly schedule with the number of shifts per week including, shift date, club, start time, sampling interval or " N " and random start or " R ".


## What goes into making it work?



## Practicality

## Role-plays:

-Basic counting and recruiting.
-"Why not me?"
-"Not me!"
-Too many men.
$\qquad$
Page of
Site ID (A) (B)
Shift \# $\qquad$
Start time: $\qquad$ : $\qquad$ $\mathrm{R}=$ $\qquad$
End time: $\qquad$ : $\qquad$ $\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$ / $\qquad$ / 07
Q1: $\qquad$

Staff: $\qquad$
Staff: $\qquad$


## Putting it all together: Connections Study


probability sampling

high-risk population

qualitative interviewing

## The Connections Study

Use probability sampling (and really great recruiters) to get men leaving clubs to complete an ACASI exit survey which immediately (and unobtrusively) identifies the high-risk men and then recruit those men into qualitative interviews off-site.

## Sounds simple, right?

|  | LMOE I \& II | Connections |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dates | Thanksgiving - New Year's Day <br> $(2001 ~ \& ~ 2002)$ | $3 / 3 / 2007-8 / 31 / 2007$ |
| Data collection period | 5 weeks each | 26 weeks (6 months) |
| Shift length | 3 hours | 2 hours |
| Sampling frequency | $15-20$ shifts/week | $4-6$ shifts/week |
| Number of shifts / total | -100 | 140 |
| Shift logistics | $1-4$ shifts/day everyday | Up to 2 shifts at same time at <br> different sites |
| Number of surveys | 400 | 440 |
| Recruiting staff / total | 24 | 12 |
| Recruiting staff per shift | 3 | 2 |
| Clubs | 1 | 2 |
| Times | 24 hours, 7 days a week | 4 p.m. -6 a.m. weekdays, 24 <br> hours at weekends |
| Data collection method | Interviewer-administered survey <br> on paper in a private room | Audio Computer Assisted Self- <br> Interview in the lobby |
| Unique issue | Conversational interviewing | Recruiting for qualitative <br> interview |
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